Object-Oriented Computer Architecture:

- Concepts and Issues - The REKURSIV Object-Oriented Computer Architecture

D. M. Harland

Rapporteur: G. Dixon

VI



Object-Oriented Computer Architecture

Two lectures for the International Seminar on Object-Oriented Computing Systems Newcastle, September 6-9, 1988.

To be presented by :

David M Harland Technical Director, Linn Smart Computing Ltd, Glasgow G45 and Professor of Computer Architecture, Strathclyde University.

Object-Oriented Computer Architecture: Concepts and Issues (1).

This lecture will introduce the relevant concepts and set out the architectural issues which arose during the design of the hardware developed by Linn for the object-oriented programming paradigm.

Issues covered will include:

Stores :

Object persistence Object swapping Object security (unique identifiers, range checking, symbolic activation)

Processors :

High level instructions (no semantic gap, even higher ordered and recursive) The MIPS rate (clock rates, caches, pipelines and prefetch units)

Technology :

Software? Off the shelf? Semi-custom? Full-custom?

The REKURSIV Object-Oriented Computer Architecture (2) This lecture will concentrate on the practicalities of configuring the REKURSIV to a variety of different application domains and will discuss topics such as

Microcoding an object-based instruction set,

Language integration,

Process communication,

Garbage collection.

The future (e.g. distributed object stores).

Various examples will be given.

Demonstrations :

A simulator for the microcoded architecture to run on a Sun using X-windows will be available, as will a REKURSIV accelerator board for a Sun.

Reference :

REKURSIV Object-Oriented Computer Architecture, by D M Harland, published by Ellis Horwood Ltd, August 1988.

REKURSIV Slides





REKURSIV Slides **REKURSIV** Technology 40 bit tagged architecture . totally object oriented . 32 bit word compact representations for 32 bit objects three microcodable ASICs . 1.5 micron CMOS · sea of gates • 10MHz VI.2 Logik · sequencer for high level instruction sets 299 pins 60 bit control word 18 fields Objekt • · object oriented memory management 299 pins 32 bit control word 11 fields Numerik . · 32 bit alu, barrel shifter, multiplier and register file 223 pins 70 bit control word 17 fields

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

September '88

REKURSIV Slides

EXAMPLE - CONSing

Stack has CAR above CDR; replaced with CONS.

.

CONS: page_allocator NOFETCH ldidx d=brch (2) ldidx d=idx ldsb grabspace&setaddr&ldab&initflags&ldnb NOFETCH \ cjbr @GC MEMOFLO d=bron (CONS_TYPE) ldtb decsp newesp idx2 loadaddr d=estk idxput idx1 loadaddr d=estk idxput ldrb d=ref ldestk

REKURSIV Slides

EXAMPLE - CDRing

Stack has a CONS, replace with head of third link.

(DEF CADDDR (x) (CAR (CDR (CDR (CDR x)))))

CADDDR:d=estk pagebus d=vrr pagebus d=vrr pagebus d=vrr pagebus idx2 loadaddr idxget d=memout ldestk

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

. .

REKURSIV Slides **REKURSIV** Slides **EXAMPLE - TREECOPYing Copytree Benchmark** Stack has a tree, replaced with copied tree. (DEF COPYTREE (ITEM) (COND ((ATOM ITEM) ITEM) (T (CONS (COPYTREE (CDR ITEM)) (COPYTREE (CAR ITEM)))))) (DEF COPYTREE (x) (COND ((ATOM x) x) (T (CONS (TREECOPY (CDR x)) (TREECOPY (CAR x))) 1) RATIO Xerox 1186 171 TI Explorer 57 Tektronix 4406 28 Symbolics 3675 18 TREECOPY: d=estk pagebus MIPS R/2000 (RISC) 1.2 d=bron (CONS_TYPE) ldsym **REKURSIV 10MHz** 1.0 cjupcor !IS_SYM d=vtr incsp newesp inccsp jbr @TREECOPY Idestk d=vrr pushupcor u=sp(1) usubbrch newesp readestk d=estk pagebus idx2 loadaddr idaget incsp newesp jbr @TREECOPY d=memout Idestk jbr @CONS

REKURSIV Slides

EXAMPLE - Creating An Object

Stack has size, above type, above initial values for components; replace with object.

CREATE: page_allocator decsp newesp NOFETCH d=estk ldsb grabspace&setaddr&ldab&initflags&ldnb ldidx decsp newesp \ cjbr @GC MEMOFLO d=estk ldtb cjbr @empty IDX=0 loadaddr readestk

> newmark d=estk decsp newesp idxput cldrb cjm IDXOK decidx loadaddr readestk

incsp newesp d=ref ldestk

....

empty: incsp newesp d=brch (NIL) ldrb d=ref ldestk

REKURSIV Slides

Creating An Object

Assuming that the stack has been loaded with the size, type and initialising values for each component:

- allocate new object identifier and check for exhaustion
- page the new identifier to align pager tables, test for a clash at that slot and if necessary squeeze that object out to backing store
 - at that set of table slots, and their output registers,
 - · put the identifier into the object number table
 - put the object's size into the size table
 - put the object pointer into the address table
 - put the address of the first component into the memory address register
 - set the NEW flag
 - · clear the MOD flag and tag flag
 - · put the type into the type table
 - step up the object pointer to the end of the object and check that the object pointer has not advanced off the end of memory; call the garbage collector if necessary
- initialise each component from the stack
- replace the size, type and initialising values on the stack by the new object identifier

On the REKURSIV this sequence takes 5+2*N cycles, for N components, and it runs at 10MHz (later 16MHz).

•

	EXAMPLE - Linear Scanning			EXAMPLE - Hashed Scanning	
Stack has	object above symbol, replace with value or nil.		Stack has	object, above symbol, above initial hashed index; replace with val	lue or nil
LSCAN:	decsp newesp d=estk pagebus readestk d=estk ldsym idx1 loadaddr		HSCAN:	decsp newesp d=estk pagebus readestk decsp newesp d=estk ldsym readestk d=estk ldidx loadaddr ldreg	
•1:	cjbr @*2 !IDXOK idxget cjbr @*1 !NILSYM d=memout idxup2 loadaddr			newmark idxget cjbr @*1 NILSYM d=memout incidx loadaddr jrp @*2 IDXDONE idxnext loadaddr	
	cjbr @*2 IS_NIL decidx loadaddr d=memout idxget d=memout ldestk 		*1:	cjbr @*2 IS_NIL d=memout idxget d=memout ldestk	
•2:	d=brch (NIL) ldestk /* not found */		*2:	d=brch (NIL) ldestk /* not found */	
	a				
			- - -		
	Constraint April (177				
inn Smart	Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483	September '88	Linn Smart	Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483	Sep

September '88

VI.6

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

.

9

REKURSIV Slides

Sending a Message

- · Stack has message, above arguments, above receiver
- get receiver's class
- if selector/class pair not cached, search for message following superchain and cache resulting selector/class/method relationship.

- ... so have method in object store
 - if primitive, execute microcode body and continue with next instruction
 - if not primitive or primitive fails :

.

- · if method not in instruction cache, cache it
- establish new context and invoke its first instruction.

... so have new instruction in execution.

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set

REKURSIV SMALLTALK INSTRUCTIONS

Linn Smart Computing Ltd 257 Drakemire Drive Glasgow G45 9SN

> Tel: (44) 41 631 1483 Tix: 77301 LINN G Fax: (44) 41 631 1486

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988

VI.

1

Contents

The REKURSIV Smalltalk Instructions fall into several categories, mainly control flow and variable manipulation, and these will be generated by the compiler during interactive sessions. In addition, there are low level instructions for manipulating the stack and interfacing to the object store, but these and various high-level instructions for building the class structures, method and dictionaries of the kernel classes, are used during system startup (before messages can be sent).

Control Flow	
Send	Send a message
Exit	Exit a method, return to sender's context
BlkExit	Exit a block, return to home context
Variables	
Liv	Load instance/ class variable
Siv	Store instance/ class variable
Lv	Load method/ block variable
Sv	Store method/ block variable
Basic Object Creation	
Integer	Load an integer
Character	Load a character
Pseudo	Load a pseudo variable
String	Load a string
Low Level Object Creation	
Alloc	Allocate an empty object of a given type and size
Low Level Stack Manipulation	
Push	Load binary quantity, untyped
Low Level Object Manipulation	
Get	Load component of an object
Put	Store component of an object
Building Class Structures	
Object	
Class	
Method	
Dictionary	

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483



IA 00



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

KURSIV Smallalk Instruction Set		REKUI	RSIV Smalltalk Instruc	ction Set	
PUSH		GE	ET <index></index>		
			GET	index]
₩		The the o	object on top of the s perand. If the index i	stack is replaced with the compone s invalid, nil is pushed.	ent whose offset is specified by
		Note	s :		
		(1).	If the index is zero.	, the type of the object will be push	ed onto the stack.
		(2).	This instruction pr during the bootstra	rovides a low level interface to the p.	e object store and is used
₽ value					
		-			
		2000			
	and press				
		1.1			
	*				
		51			

× ×

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483





Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

-



August 1988

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988







.

桂





Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

Linn Smart Computing Tel (44) 41 631 1483



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

1 .

August 1988

H	ARACTER <character></character>
	CHARACTER char
ad	onto the stack a Character object whose value is specified by the 8-bit operand.
otes	;:
).	Characters are represented as compact objects, with 8-bit data tagged to 40 bits.
	If necessary, font and scale information can be incorporated into the upper bytes of the character's representation.

EKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set	REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set
CHARACTER	PSEUDO <code></code>
	PSEUDO code
sp	This instruction loads one of a variety of special values onto the stack, as specified by the operand.
aCharacter	case <code> of 1 true 2 false 3 nil 4 receiver 5 context 6 method 7 objects 8 super</code>
aCharacter	
1 1 Character Character.Value 39 31 0	 Notes: (1). All of these quantities are represented as objects, not binary quantities, so when loaded onto the stack they are tagged appropriately.

· · · · · · · · ·

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set **REKURSIV** Smalltalk Instruction Set STRING <count> <offset> **PSEUDO** STRING count offset This instruction will cause a String object to be loaded onto the stack. The string is derived by indexing into the current method, at an offset specified by the operand, to extract the string's object identifier. If this is nil, the string must first be built up from the literal in the method. In this case, the number of characters packed into the words that follow is given by <count>. These start at the word after that given by the <offset>. A string object is built using this literal, and the result written both on to the stack and into the method at the specified offset. Thus, only string literals which are actually used get created as objects, and once created for a given method are thereby cached by it. anObject Notes: The characters are packed running down the word, first at the highest byte. (1). (2). Trailing bytes after the last character in the final word are null padded, to nil. VI.20 (3). Characters in a String object start at index one. (4). The longest String literal has 4K characters. (5). The character count of a String is an Integer, so dynamically generated strings may grow very large! Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483 August 1988 August 1988 Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483



REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set LV <level> <offset> LV level offant The value of the block or method variable (argument or temporary) at the offset specified by the operand, within the context at a level down the static chain specified by the operand, is loaded onto the stack. Notes: (1). The current lexical level is zero. (2). The first variable is at offset zero. Blocks can access method variables by reaching down the static chain one (3). level beyond their nesting depth in that method. Since this instruction is generated by the compiler, it is assumed that the (4). depth level down the static chain, and the offset into the resulting context, are valid. No checks are made to ensure that this is so. (5). Arguments reside at the base of each context, tempories are accessed as if they were arguments, at offsets above the actual argument (allowing a space for the context's method and linkage information). There is a maximum of fifteen lexicographical nesting levels. (6).

VI.21





offset

VI.23

August 1988



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988





August 1988



REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set **METHOD** <count> count METHOD This sixteen-bit operand specifies the number of codewords following the instruction. A Method object of size <count> plus two is created. This is then initialised from the codestream and the instruction pointer advanced to the next instruction. The resulting method is pushed on the stack. Notes : This instruction is used during the bootstrap. (1). (2). All methods are compiled into the REKURSIV Smalltalk Instructions and stored in the object store as objects of type Method. Their identifiers are bound to their selectors in the message dictionaries of their parent class. When a method is invoked it is cached into the instruction cache within the processor's pipeline. Methods are cached as they are required. The cache is flushed when it overflows, and dynamic re-caching is recommenced. REKURSIV Smalltalk instructions are designed to be relocateable by virute of being position-independent. There are no jump instructions, there are no addresses, so caching is efficient.

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

VI.26

August 1988



Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

-

at: at:rest:	
at.put.	
Object	
classOf	
size	
isNil	
notNil	
hash	
=	
~=	
cons:	
isKindOf:	
is Same Class Ac-	
130411601833733	
Class	
new	
new:	
name	
superclass	
5 F 519	·
Context	
blockCopy	
sender	
0.0000000000	
Block	
value	
value:	
whileTrue:	
whileFalse:	
Boolean	
not	
ifTrue:	
ifTrue:ifFalse:	

Sequence	nbleCollection				
	it:				
24	t:put:				
Object	¥1.				
C	lassOf				
s	ize				
i	sNil				
	otNil				
h	ash				
-	F				
-	-				
c	ons:				
i	sKindOf:				
i	MemberOf:				
i	SameClassAs:				
Class					
	ew				
	ew:				
	ame				
s	uperclass				
Context		*			
	lashCan				
0	lockCopy				
5	Ender				
				3	
DIOCK	1141				
v	alue				
v	alue:				
	vhile True:				
	/hileFalse:				
Boolean					
Π	ot				
i	True:				
i	True: ifFalse:				

VI.28

STATISTICS

The instructions are used in different ways depending upon algorithm, however because there are so few instructions available there is relatively little scope for variation - all that a string processing application is likely to do to distort the figures is change the String and Character instruction counts, for example. The only major distortion of instruction counts is likely to be caused during system bootstrap, when the class building instructions are used, before there are sufficient classes to support message passing operations.

Static Statistics

The static instruction counts for the example used in the following test are :

23	pseudo	
16	send	
12	integer	
50%		
10	exit	
60%		
8	lv	
70%		
6	push	
6	method	
80%		
Dynamic Stati	itics	
Taking the boo	strap alone we get dynamic frequencies as f	follows :
21.8	pseudo	
17.4	push	
17.	method	
60%		
14.1	integer	
70%		
11.0	object	
80%		
7.2	class	
90%		
If we now incl	de a small amount of "interactive use" we g	jet
19.	push	
19. 17.	push pseudo	

.

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set

50%			
	10.5	send	
60%			
	9.5	lv	
70%			
	9.2	method	
80%	· `		
	5.0	object	
	4.6	exit	
90%			

so clearly half the instructions executed are various kinds of stack-push of trivial quantities. Message sending and variable access are only beginning to become significant. The bootstrap still dominates, however.

Running a longer test eliminates the effect of the bootstrap, viz

21.1	push	
19.1	send	
17.7	lv	
60%		
12.2	pseudo	
70%		
10.1	integer	
80%		
8.5	exit	
90%		
2.6	SV	
1.3	siv	

which doubtless begins to show a realistic working mix.

By optimising Send to carry its selector as an operand in the instruction, rather than having it first placed on the stack by Push, the following counts obtain

send
lv
pseudo
integer
exit
sv
object
siv

The Push instruction is still used, during method construction, but has been relegated to that set of instructions which get called upon only during system creation.

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988

The execution times for each instruction, accumulated during the test, excluding the bootstrap, were as follows :

	mS	%time	%opcodes
send	13.94	61	23.6
exit	3.99	17	10.5
lv	3.24	14	21.8
sv	0.64	2	3.2
pseudo	0.27	~1	15.1
integer	0.22	~1	12.4

From these figures it is clear that six instructions consume 99% of the time, and two of these nearly 80%, these being Send and Exit. These same six instructions comprise some 90% of the dynamic opcode counts. It is clear, therefore, that message passing in this Smalltalk instruction set is the main activity. It should be noted, however, that in the time allotted to message sending, the Send instruction includes the time spent within a primitive if that message was implemented directly in microcode. Since 80% of messages sent in the example were primitive, it is not surprising that Send is the dominant instruction.

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set

Instruction Formats

SEND		0	args		sela	ctor
ALLOC						
PUSH		binary value				
GET		index				
PUT		index				
BLKEXIT						
EXIT						
LIV			mede			Test
STV			mode	offast		Test
INTEGER		binary integer				
CHARACTER						cha
PSEUDO			code			
STRING			count			offset
LV			level		e	libet
sv			level		•	libet
DICTIONARY					ce	un t
CLASS			cvarc		e	biid
METHOD			count			
			a.			
39 30	24	22	20	16	12	8

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

August 1988

General Notes

(1). The stack is pre-incremented, so always points at the topmost element. The registers are :

P	
P	
irgpt	1

and these are stack addresses, not objects.

However, there are also registers in object format :

current context current receiver

current method

for fast access.

(2). Garbage collection is automatic.

- (3). Execution times?
- (4). There are no addresses, no jumps, position-independent code, methods are cached in.
- (5). Instructions needed only during bootstrapping can thereafter be deleted from the control store map and thence rendered unavailable leaving only the message-based instructions.

REKURSIV Smalltalk Instruction Set

THE MESSAGE AND METHOD CACHES

Message lookup, method invocation and method execution are all assisted by the existence of caches.

That is, the instruction cache is loaded only with those methods which get invoked. These are loaded dynamically, when needed. This cache is within the processor architecture, close to the sequencer, so that opcode decoding and operand stripping can be pipelined.

Each method so cached is tagged with the start address of its codestream in the instruction cache. This gets set when the method's codestream is first loaded into the cache. A simple examination of a method reveals both whether or not it has been cached, and identifies its start point in the cache in the case that is has.

The instruction cache is filled on a first-come basis, so when it overflows all methods which point into it are reset to indicate that they are no longer cached, and the cache pointer reset to the base of the cache. To facilitate this unlinking, an array of method identifiers is kept, and this is automatically scanned when the cache is cleared and each method identified by it has its cache address set to zero, to indicate not-cached.

Thus, given a method, it can quickly be established that it has been cached and, because of being 'locked into' the processor's sequencer, it can executed very efficiently. Clearly, therefore, because it is truly a method cache, there will be no page faults from the codestrearm during execution of a method, and so no need for disk access. The instruction cache is quite large, up to 128K instructions, and because methods tend to be fairly short, a few dozen instructions, there can be many methods in the cache at any given time. To remove the possibility of the cache management table causing a premature flush, the cached-method table grows automatically when necessary.

It is in the nature of message-based systems that much time can be spent merely searching the message dictionaries associated with any given item of data, trying to find the meaning of a particular message. This could involve searching the entire class hierarchy, to find the proper method. An optimisation over repeatedly searching the class hierarchy is to maintain a cache that records which method was found each time a particular pair of selector and receiver class are looked up. If that message has been sent to that class of data before, the cache identifies the appropriate method, so a full search is not necessary.

The message cache is organised as a triple. It records a binding between a selector, a class and a method. Given the selector and the class, it provides the proper method. A straightforward hashing algorithm is employed, using the low order bits of the selector and the class to provide a cache index. If the selector and class match those of the cache, the method is extracted. If they do not match, the method is found by searching the class hierarchy of the receiver for that message and the message, class and method are then written to the cache for future reference. This cache can grow if necessary

The access time for the message cache is half a dozen cycles, to establish that there is no match, with a further three cycles to extract the method should a match occur. This is likely to be far faster than searching even the first-level class's dictionary.

Once the desired method has been identified, it then takes only half a dozen more cycles to establish whether its codestream has been loaded into the instruction cache, and to start setting this up in the pipeline.

Linn Smart Computing: Tel (44) 41 631 1483

Performance

30.

The message cache performed, in small scale tests using different hashing algorithms, as follows

hash	%slots	%hits	cycles	μS
1	13	81	154299	22603
2	12	81	155172	22814
3	11	78	162370	23744
0	0	0	161207	24198
4	5	47	169733	25170
5	4	50	171657	2547

(The cache had 255 slots, and 1903 messages sent during the test).

for hashing algorithms

0 none

1 (class XOR message) 0.8

2 (class ADD message) 0.8

3 $(class_{0,3} << 4) | message_{0,3}$

4 ((NOT class) AND message)_{0.8}

5 (class AND message) 0.8

where the result is always ORed with one to guarantee a valid (non-zero) index.

The favourite algorithm is therefore the XOR of the message and receiver class. Those algorithms which performed poorly were actually disadvantageous, presenting an overhead rather than an optimisation. It should be noted, however, that these tests were carried out on a very small execution profile, some 25 milliseconds during which less than 2000 messages were sent; longer tests on a much larger system will be needed to properly evaluate the benefits of the message cache.

properly evaluate the benefits of the message cache.

August 1988

IA

32

DISCUSSION

The discussion began by Dr. Kay asking Professor Harland how small he hoped to make the Recusiv board. Professor Harland replied that their aim was to reduce the size to that of a normal VME card, with double eurocard connections. Smaller that this was unlikley, and the target was to achieve this reduction by next year.

Professor Randell asked whether the essence of the Rekursiv architecture could be summarised as being the ability to execute tests and conditional branches in parallel. Professor Harland replied that this capability was only one of the features of the architecture.

Professor Morrison asked Professor Harland how confident he thought his type system was for representing all types, as concern was expressed about the size of the available tags. Professor Harland replied that the tags could also be a word (in addition to the 5 bits used by the compact types), and he thought that would be sufficient. Professor Atkinson followed up on the previous question, being worried about the type system not supporting persistence data fully. Professor Harland replied that Rekursiv does not support a type system, but such problems could be solved by building suitable tools such as a browser to browse the objects in the object store.

A member of the audience pointed out that the buyers of computer hardware are willing to pay extra for faster processing, but questioned whether they are also willing to pay extra for the security of the type system supported by the Rekursiv architecture. Professor Harland disagreed, pointing out that the type security supported by the architecture was becoming a requirement, in particular for military use, and that to date 17 machines had been sold. In reply to a question asking whether these machines had been bought by military users, Professor Harland replied that none had been bought by the miltary. The problem with buyers such as the military being that they take such a long time to think about buying a product that once decided, then the product is already obselete.

Professor van der Poel asked where the names Rekursiv, Objekt etc came from. Professor Harland replied that the company (Linn Products) make hi-fi, and have a habit of misspelling names, so that when the marketing people were consultated as to what to call the architecture, the fact that it supports recusive computations in the micro-code suggested that it be called Rekursiv, with the name clearly being a misspelling of recursive. This theme continued with the other components of the architecture.

Professor Randell asked whether a number of the machines could be used together. Professor Harland replied that this was one of the aims of the group, and that his main interest was now in constructing a distributed object store that could be shared by a number of machines. One possibility being to use 6 bits of the object id to name a particular machine. Professor Harland though that this was an interesting problem, with lots of tricky problems. Professor Atkinson stated that a similar approach had been adopted by the IBM model 38 architecture, but there larger word sizes had been used. Professor Harland replied that he throught his approach would be sufficient to construct a distributed object store.

Restances and the set of the set